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Income is Income
Income from capital gains and dividends is often taxed at a lower rate than income from salary. Income from capital gains, dividends, and salary should be taxed at the same rate.

Limit Deductions, Lower Rates
Lower income tax rates in exchange for eliminating or capping deductions.

Goal 1: Create 25 million new jobs over the next 10 years

INDIVIDUAL TAXES

Income is Income
Income from capital gains and dividends is often taxed at a lower rate than income from salary. Income from capital gains, dividends, and salary should be taxed at the same rate. 55% SUPPORT

Limit Deductions, Lower Rates
Lower income tax rates in exchange for eliminating or capping deductions. 61% SUPPORT

CORPORATE TAXES

Reduce Business Tax Rates
Reduce the corporate tax rate to 25% in exchange for eliminating special interest tax credits and deductions. 62% SUPPORT

Modernize Business Taxes
Move toward a “territorial” tax system, in which U.S. companies pay tax only on the income they earn at home. 77% SUPPORT

Bring the Money Home
Give companies holding assets in other countries the opportunity to bring them back home at a one-time lower tax rate, with the requirement that the returning funds be used to invest in the United States. 76% SUPPORT

*Polling data derived from three national surveys conducted by Cohen Research Group in February and March 2016. Each survey had a sample size of at least 1,000 registered voters with a margin of error of +/- 3.1%.
New Technical Training Partnerships
Bring together businesses, high schools and community colleges to create 21st century programs of technical education and training that would allow students to earn both a high school and an associate’s degree in computer science and other STEM (Science, Technology, Engineering and Math) subjects.

Incentives for College Completion
Provide new incentives for higher education institutions to focus on college completion as well as admission.

Expand Access to Online College Courses
Use online courses to deliver a high-quality, fully accredited college education at lower cost than traditional brick-and-mortar institutions.

Match Worker Skills With Employer Needs
Increase incentives for high school and college partnerships with industry in order to better match worker skills with employer needs.

New Avenues for Worker Accreditation
Create new types of accreditation beyond high school, college and graduate school degrees, to include accreditation (e.g. digital badges or micro-degrees) that recognize the acquisition of specific skills such as computer coding or leadership training.

Make Higher Education Credits Transferable
Establish standardized measures for course requirements to make it easier for students to transfer course credits among different schools.

Universal Computer Science Education
Make computer science courses available to every middle and high school student by 2020.

More Targeted Investment for Technical Education in K-12
Incorporate new courses such as computer programming, engineering and data analytics in K-12 curricula to better prepare graduates for the workforce.

21st Century Digital Infrastructure for Schools
Allocate more federal funding to ensure that all K-12 schools have the infrastructure to support 21st century learning.
Regulatory Road Map for Entrepreneurs
Develop a regulatory “road map” website that enables entrepreneurs to view all federal, state and local regulations that may affect their businesses.

Expand Entrepreneurship by Enhancing the Community Reinvestment Act
Enhance the Community Reinvestment Act — which provides incentives for banks to meet the credit needs of people in low- and moderate-income neighborhoods — to allow more funding to be funneled to startup businesses.

Streamline Infrastructure Approvals
To accelerate the construction of important infrastructure, the federal government should designate officials to streamline the regulatory process for infrastructure projects such as roads, bridges and highways.

Infrastructure Bank
Create a new institution (e.g. an infrastructure bank) that relies on public-private partnerships to design, build, finance, operate and maintain public infrastructure.

Highway User Fee Divided by 3
Increase the federal gas tax with all the revenues split in three equal proportions to: 1) reduce personal income taxes, 2) reduce the federal deficit and 3) provide additional funding for the Highway Trust Fund.

New Regulation In, Old Regulation Out
Whenever departments and agencies propose new regulations, require them to propose eliminating an existing regulation with comparable cost impact.
IDEAS AT A GLANCE

REGULATORY (CONTINUED)

Sunset All Regulations
To prevent the accumulation of regulations that are unnecessary, counterproductive or too costly, require all regulations to sunset after 15 years, unless Congress explicitly acts to keep a particular regulation on the books.

Require Judges to Act as Gatekeepers
In order to restore fairness and reliability to the American justice system, give judges more responsibility to dismiss unreasonable lawsuit claims.

Would States do it Better?
The next president should undertake a comprehensive review of all major federal programs to determine if any aspects of the programs would be more efficiently and effectively handled by state or local government.

Review Existing Regulations and Reduce Them by 25%
Create a bipartisan commission to review existing federal regulations with the goal of reducing them by 25%.

IMMIGRATION (CONTINUED)

Expand Guest Worker Visa Programs
Expand seasonal or annual guest worker visa programs for lower-skilled foreign workers in sectors such as agriculture.

Goal 2: Secure Social Security and Medicare for the next 75 years

SOCIAL SECURITY

Increase Revenues Coming Into Social Security
No Labels offered respondents a choice of four different reform packages, each of which met the standard of securing the program for the next 75 years. The following package received broad support from policymakers and the public.

1. Raise the annual limit on earnings subject to the payroll tax from $118,500 to $240,000.
2. Increase the payroll tax rate, with employers and employees each paying an additional 1% of salaries.
3. Slow the growth of future benefits for the top 20% of beneficiaries.
4. Reform the Social Security disability system by tightening eligibility requirements and reducing fraud.

IMMIGRATION*

Permanent Legal Status for Illegal Immigrants
Promote a path to earned legal status for illegal immigrants in the United States who meet strict conditions such as learning English, paying back taxes and passing rigorous background checks.

Mandatory Tracking of Expired Visas
Establish a mandatory tracking policy that uses technology to monitor expired visas.

Expand H1-B Visas for High-Skilled Workers
Expand the H1-B visa system for high-skilled foreign workers in the U.S. and allow these workers to move freely from one employer to the next.

More Funding for Chronic Disease Treatment
Increase the portion of the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) research budget focused on chronic disease conditions to a level similar to that of life science companies (16% on average).
IDEAS AT A GLANCE

**MEDICARE (CONTINUED)**

**Allow Medicare to Negotiate with Drug Companies**
Allow Medicare to negotiate the price it pays for medicines (i.e., competitive bidding), which is already allowed for Medicaid and the Department of Veterans Affairs.

**Pay for Quality, Not Quantity of Service**
Expand existing pilot programs for establishing Accountable Care Organizations and bundled payments for Medicare and Medicaid, in which providers are paid for the quality instead of the quantity of care.

**Allow Nurse Practitioners to do More**
To both increase access to medical care and reduce costs, use payment incentives and suggest best practices to accelerate the trend of nurse practitioners and other mid-level health care workers providing a wider scope of medical services, such as writing prescriptions.

**More Training for Mid-level Health Pros**
Increase funding for scholarships, loans and other education and training incentives for mid-level and other health-care workers, such as nurse practitioners, diagnostic technicians and occupational and physical therapists.

**More Telemedicine**
Expand the usage of telemedicine, where doctors can diagnose and treat patients remotely using web videos and other telecommunications technology.

**Reduce Defensive Medicine**
Institute reforms to reduce defensive medicine, which refers to the practice of a doctor ordering unnecessary tests as protection from future legal action.

**MEDICARE (CONTINUED)**

**Allow Health Insurance Purchases Across State Lines**
*Poll results from February 2014 Rasmussen Reports survey*
Currently, consumers can buy policies only from insurers licensed by the states where they live and state insurance markets are sometimes dominated by only one or two insurers. Allow citizens to buy health insurance plans across state lines.

**Goal 3: Balance the federal budget by 2030**

**BUDGET**

**Fiscal Responsibility Act**
Prohibit Congress from passing budgets that would increase the national debt as a share of the overall economy, except in cases of war, disaster or recession.

**Two-Year Budgets**
Implement a biennial budget process, allowing Congress to spend one year on appropriations and freeing up additional time for oversight activities.

**No Budget, No Pay**
If the congressional appropriations (spending) process is not completed by the start of a new federal fiscal year, congressional pay ceases as of October 1, and isn’t restored until appropriations are completed.
Highway Fee Divided by 3
(also featured in infrastructure section)
Increase the federal gas tax with all the revenues split in three equal proportions to: 1) reduce personal income taxes, 2) reduce the federal deficit and 3) provide additional funding for the Highway Trust Fund. This idea is included in the infrastructure section too, but a gas tax increase would also enhance energy security by increasing the cost of oil-based fuels, which would accelerate the transition to other transportation fuels.

Use Government Purchasing to Encourage Efficiency
Use the government’s purchasing power — which can shape entire markets — to promote energy efficiency while protecting the environment.

Facilitate Transition to More Sustainable, Less Polluting Power
Change incentives in the energy marketplace to encourage utilities to invest in more sustainable power generation such as nuclear, natural gas, renewables and efficiency.

Create an Energy and Environmental Security Trust Fund
Use royalties from oil and gas drilling on public lands to fund an Energy and Environmental Security Trust Fund that invests in basic research to improve energy security (e.g. new nuclear reactor designs, power storage, advanced electrical grid technology, etc.).

Grid Modernization Initiative
In order to protect the U.S. electric grid from cyber attack and ensure a smart electric grid is deployed across the U.S., develop robust requirements and incentives to enable utilities to meet stringent standards in cybersecurity and digital technologies.
No Labels
And The National Strategic Agenda

Since we launched in 2010, No Labels has had a distinct focus:

Bringing America’s leaders together to solve big problems.

With growing support among citizens, on college campuses and on Capitol Hill, No Labels is building a movement for the millions of Americans who are tired of a political system that doesn’t work and that is increasingly dominated by extremes in both parties. We are the voice for the vast majority of citizens who want common sense solutions to problems that matter and who want less talking and more doing from our leaders.

Americans are angry and anxious about the future. We believe our leaders owe us a real plan to deal with our nation’s problems and to restore the sense of can-do optimism that has always been one of our country’s most valuable assets.

To solve a problem — any problem — leaders first need to unite behind goals, and then commit to working together to achieve those goals.

Agreeing to shared bipartisan goals has always been the precursor to progress in Washington, whether it was Republican President Ronald Reagan and Democratic House Speaker Tip O’Neill fixing the tax code and Social Security in the 1980s or Democratic President Bill Clinton and Republican House Speaker Newt Gingrich balancing the budget in the 1990s.

No Labels believes this is the only way for our next president to make meaningful progress with a contentious Congress.
As of April 2016, almost 80 House and Senate members have signed congressional resolutions calling for the creation of a National Strategic Agenda focused on these goals. No Labels is also working to ensure our next president — whoever it is — endorses the four goals of the National Strategic Agenda and agrees to get to work on them upon entering office.

But rallying behind bipartisan goals is just the start. Any meaningful agenda must answer the crucial question: How can we achieve those goals with policies that make sense, that our country can afford and that the American people can accept?

The No Labels Policy Playbook For America’s Next President aims to answer that question.

This booklet is both a road map for reaching the swing voters who will decide the 2016 election and a blueprint for moving specific policies that advance the National Strategic Agenda goals in early 2017.

To identify broad bipartisan goals for America, No Labels did extensive national polling to determine where the American people want Washington to get to work. Drawing on this feedback, we created a National Strategic Agenda focused on four goals:

- **Create 25 million new jobs over the next 10 years**
- **Secure Social Security and Medicare for the next 75 years**
- **Balance the federal budget by 2030**
- **Make America energy secure by 2024**
No Labels Policy Playbook for America’s Next President:
Delivering Power to and Policies From the People

With the National Strategic Agenda, No Labels has identified four goals that resonate with Americans of every age, station and political affiliation — goals that will help build the strong, confident nation we all want.

The National Strategic Agenda tells our leaders where the people want our nation to go. The No Labels Policy Playbook For America’s Next President shows how we can get there.

GOOD POLITICS AND GOOD POLICY

For the past two years, No Labels has worked diligently to create a playbook for our next president that represents both good politics and good policy.

Working with our pro-bono partner Deloitte Consulting, No Labels conducted almost 20 policy workshops featuring policy experts, former senior government and military officials, and business and community leaders from across the political spectrum. Along the way we researched, debated and discussed hundreds of discrete policy proposals in areas including tax, budget, health care, Social Security and Medicare, investment and innovation, energy, education and regulation.

If an idea was deemed good policy in our workshops — meaning our experts thought it could make progress against one or more of the four goals in the National Strategic Agenda — No Labels took it straight to the people.

In an effort to find the sweet spot where good policy and good politics intersect, No Labels once again conducted national polling to survey the American people’s feelings on policy options and strategic choices facing the country.

The end result is the No Labels Policy Playbook For America’s Next President, featuring 60 ideas, the vast majority of which poll above 60% overall and at least 50% among Democrats, Republicans and independents. The few ideas in the playbook that don’t reach this ambitious polling threshold are included because our policy experts believed them essential to reaching one of the four goals. Some of these are new ideas, while others build upon or expand existing government efforts.

This policy playbook, if implemented in its entirety, wouldn’t necessarily get America all the way there on each of the four National Strategic Agenda goals. But it would represent a substantial — even historic — step forward.

Of course, no single policy idea exists in isolation. Pull one policy lever and it affects many others. For example, if America enacted a smarter immigration policy that protected our borders and preserved our heritage as a nation of immigrants, we could grow our labor force and enhance our pool of high-skilled talent. This would lead to the potential for greater innovation and ultimately, more jobs. We could also expand the number of workers paying into safety net programs at a time when we desperately need more revenues to pay for increasing numbers of beneficiaries. In turn, easing these entitlement burdens goes a long way toward helping us bring balance to the budget.

So the No Labels Policy Playbook For America’s Next President was created with this interconnectedness in mind, with ideas that are complementary and can often help make progress against multiple National Strategic Agenda goals at once.
In the two years of consultation leading to this document, we were led time after time to a single core proposition:

Without a healthy economy that is growing rapidly and expanding opportunity for all Americans, we cannot achieve our goals.

Therefore, our next president and Congress should focus, above all, on policies that will ignite the American economy.

Consider for a moment what would happen if the U.S. economy grew just one percentage point faster between now and 2030:

- The economy would be nearly $3 trillion larger.

- Personal income would be more than $2.5 trillion higher.

- The government would collect more than $5 trillion in additional revenues.

Imagine how much easier it would be to achieve the goals of the National Strategic Agenda — to create jobs, shore up Social Security and Medicare, balance the budget and make America energy secure — against this backdrop of a thriving economy.

It sounds blindingly obvious. And yet, there are so many things that Washington both does and fails to do that create headwinds for America’s economy, our businesses and our workers.
Globalization has brought most nations — and nearly two billion new workers — into world markets. Although a more interconnected global economy has undoubtedly been good for America, the benefits of global trade haven’t been evenly distributed, with some communities and workers being hit particularly hard. Our leaders need to ensure America stays open to the world while also working to ensure a more widespread distribution of benefits from trade.

Technology is transforming modes of production and communication, generating new economic sectors and expanding consumer choice while changing the kinds of skills employers need.

Demography is both diversifying and aging the U.S. population. The growth of our labor force is projected to slow sharply in the next decade and within just a few years the majority of new entrants into the labor force will be immigrants or racial and ethnic minorities. America is better positioned to benefit from these trends than are most highly developed nations. But success is far from assured. Smart and strategic government policies are essential to build a strong economy and a healthy country for the 21st century.
The 60 ideas in the No Labels Policy *Playbook For America’s Next President* primarily do one of two things:

1. **REMOVE BARRIERS TO ECONOMIC GROWTH**
   (e.g. flaws in the tax code, regulatory inefficiency, long-term deficits); or

2. **PROVIDE INVESTMENTS OR INCENTIVES TO INCREASE ECONOMIC GROWTH**
   (e.g. infrastructure investments, STEM education).

Although No Labels screened hundreds of different policy ideas for inclusion in this document, these ideas certainly don’t represent the entire universe of options available to meet the four National Strategic Agenda goals.

We started with a belief that Congress and the next president should focus, above all, on policies that can ignite the American economy. We also sought to find those policies that hit the sweet spot where good politics and good policy intersect.

This answers the question of how ideas were chosen. But to answer the question of why ideas were chosen requires an understanding of what No Labels believes about how our economy and society works — an understanding of the core principles that naturally led us to suggest certain policies and discard others.

Therefore, No Labels opens each goal section in this book by listing the key policy principles that helped inform individual policy choices.
GOAL ONE

Create 25 Million New Jobs Over The Next 10 Years
The Great Recession of 2008–2009 was so deep and damaging that total employment in the U.S. did not return to its pre-recession peak until May 2014. However, the American job market is in better shape than people think, with the U.S. unemployment rate dipping below 5% in 2016 and wages growing at the fastest rate in six years.

But our next president and Congress can’t get complacent because there are still far too many American families who don’t feel an economic recovery. Though the top-line unemployment rate is low, there are still millions of people who are working part time when they’d rather be working full time, who are working low paying jobs when they’re qualified for higher-paying jobs or who have simply given up looking for work.

Solving this problem will require a more creative and flexible response from the U.S. government. Just decreasing taxes or increasing spending — which are often the go-to policy options for the right and left — won’t cut it.

It’s time for policymakers to treat this problem with the urgency it deserves, and to commit to helping create 25 million jobs over the next decade.

Policy Principles

No Labels’ policies on jobs and the economy spring from an assumption that:

- A strong middle class equals a strong economy
- A first-class economy requires a first-class educational system and infrastructure
- More legal immigration is good for America
- Countries that embrace and advance science and technology will be the most prosperous countries in the 21st century
- To encourage more investment in America, our tax and regulatory systems must be more competitive with other countries around the world
- Entrepreneurs fuel new job growth in America. They need to be empowered to invent, innovate and to create new technologies
America’s Job Problem

In Three Charts

The Economy Isn’t Growing Fast Enough

Annual U.S. GDP Growth
(10 year moving average)

Source: Bureau of Economic Analysis Data compiled by iStock Analyst
There Aren’t Enough Good-Paying Jobs

Middle-class incomes have stagnated, partly because millions of good-paying manufacturing jobs have been replaced by lower-paying service jobs.

% Change in Nonfarm Payroll Employment Since Start of Recession

Source: Bureau of Labor Statistics Data compiled by the Center on Budget and Policy Priorities

Jobs Go And They Don’t Come Back

The U.S. has had four recessions since the early 1980s. After each one, it has taken longer for lost jobs to return.

% Change in Nonfarm Payroll Employment

Source: Bureau of Labor Statistics Data compiled by the Center on Budget and Policy Priorities
A number of headwinds are slowing job creation and economic growth in America, including:

**Taxes**
A complicated and uncompetitive tax code

**Education**
An education system that is too expensive and fails to train students for the jobs of tomorrow

**Infrastructure**
Lack of investment in innovation and infrastructure

**Regulatory**
An overly burdensome regulatory system

**Workforce**
Historically low workforce participation

**Entrepreneurship**
Declining rates of entrepreneurship

**Immigration**
A broken immigration system
1 Taxes
The Basics

How The Money Comes In

$3 Trillion
FEDERAL GOVERNMENT TAX REVENUES IN 2014

$3.5 Trillion
FEDERAL SPENDING IN 2014

$500 Billion
2014 DEFICIT

Sources of Federal Tax Revenue, 2014

- Income Tax: 46%
- Payroll Tax: 34%
- Corporate Income Tax: 11%
- Excise, Estate, and Other Taxes: 9%

NOTE: “Other Taxes” category includes profits on assets held by the Federal Reserve.

Source: Office of Management and Budget

The Upshot

The amount of tax expenditures delivered annually in the U.S. is almost as much as all federal government revenue from the income tax.

Tax Expenditures Are Very Costly

Tax expenditures vs. selected major program expenditures, 2015

- Tax Expenditures: $1.2 trillion
- Medicare & Medicaid: $850 billion
- Social Security: $882 billion
- Non-defense Discretionary: $585 billion
- Defense Discretionary: $583 billion

Notes: Tax expenditure estimates do not account for interaction effects and do not include associated spending ($161 billion), effects on excise and payroll receipts ($128 billion), or “tax extenders” made permanent or extended retroactively at the end of 2015.

Source: Office of Management and Budget
The Basics

Who Pays What

Taxes As A Percentage of Income

STATE, LOCAL AND FEDERAL TAXES BY INCOME GROUP

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Income Percentile</th>
<th>0-20</th>
<th>20-40</th>
<th>40-60</th>
<th>60-80</th>
<th>80-90</th>
<th>90-95</th>
<th>95-99</th>
<th>Top 1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Federal</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>17.1</td>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>19.7</td>
<td>20.6</td>
<td>21.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State and Local</td>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total Tax as % of Income

- 17.3
- 21.2
- 25.2
- 28.3
- 29.5
- 30.4
- 30.5
- 29

Source: Washington Post

The Upshot

Americans on average pay anywhere from 17-30% of their income in taxes, with lower income individuals paying a lower share of their income in federal income taxes and a higher share in state and local taxes. It’s the opposite for higher income individuals.
Problem

For both individuals and businesses, the U.S. tax code is:

Complicated

3x
The number of words in the U.S. Tax Code has more than tripled since 1975.

13 hours
The time an average American spends doing taxes each year.

Cumbersome

40 hours
The number of hours the majority of small businesses spend complying with federal taxes each year.

50%
The percentage of businesses that spend $5,000 or more annually on the administration of federal taxes.

Uncompetitive

High corporate taxes diminish investment and job creation in the countries where they occur. But by almost any measure, U.S. corporate taxes are higher than in other countries.

39.1%
The U.S. total corporate tax rate, the highest in the developed world.

27.9%
The U.S. average effective tax rate (what a company typically pays after deductions, credits and other measures), the second highest among the 34 countries in the Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD).

PROBLEM: JOBS: TAXES
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Unfair

Most of the benefits from tax expenditures (deductions, credits etc.) accrue to upper income individuals and corporations.

Who Gains Most From Tax Breaks
The five largest kinds of tax breaks in 2011, broken down by the distribution of benefits to various income groups:

Cost of Corporate Tax Breaks
(estimated billions of 2015 dollars)
No Labels asked the American people to imagine that the U.S. tax code is about to be completely rewritten, and to choose from among eight different priorities to guide a reform effort. The single most popular answer — more than twice as popular as any other answer — was the creation of:

“A tax system that is simple and easy to understand.”

Congress has made over 15,000 changes to tax laws since the last comprehensive tax reform in 1986. Therefore, our next president and Congress should pass a tax reform with the goal of radical simplification, in which virtually all deductions, credits and other special interest loopholes are eliminated.

Individual and corporate taxes must be addressed at the same time for reasons of both fairness and economic competitiveness. For example, 53% of all business income is taxed through the individual code making it difficult to reform one part of the code without adversely affecting other parts.
Individual Taxes

To make the tax code simpler and fairer, any individual tax reform should have at least two key components.

**IDEA 1**

**Income is Income**

Income from capital gains and dividends is often taxed at a lower rate than income from salary. Income from capital gains, dividends and salary should be taxed at the same rate.

**Public Support**

- 55% All Support
- 64% Dem Support
- 44% Rep Support
- 56% Ind Support

**IDEA 2**

**Limit Deductions, Lower Rates**

Tax rates can only be responsibly reduced by eliminating or capping some of the Big Six tax expenditures, which according to the Congressional Joint Committee on Taxation, will cost almost $750 billion annually by 2018:

- Charitable deductions $50.1 billion
- State, local and personal property tax $71.7 billion
- Mortgage interest and residential property tax $130.2 billion
- Employer-provided health care $159.6 billion
- Keogh, defined benefit and defined contribution plans $202.7 billion
- Reduced rates on capital gains (including home sales) and dividends $134.8 billion

One of the most compelling ideas to limit tax expenditures comes from Harvard professor Martin Feldstein. He suggests capping the reduction in tax liabilities that people can get from these tax expenditures to a certain percentage of income. This approach would significantly simplify tax filing as many taxpayers would shift from itemizing deductions to using a standard deduction.

Feldstein also suggests small exceptions to this cap, such as retaining the charitable contribution deduction and exempting the first $8,000 of employer paid health insurance premiums. Feldstein’s approach could avoid a politically fraught fight in Congress over which specific tax expenditures to keep, reduce or eliminate.

**Public Support for limiting deductions to lower rates**

- 61% All Support
- 64% Dem Support
- 62% Rep Support
- 55% Ind Support
Modernize Business Taxes

Right now, the U.S. tax system incentivizes companies to keep profits overseas by putting a double tax on the foreign earnings of U.S. companies when they are brought back to the United States. In order to allow U.S. companies to better compete with foreign companies, the U.S. should move toward a “territorial” tax system, in which U.S. companies pay tax only on the income they earn at home. Modernizing our current corporate tax system will encourage American companies to bring their earnings home, invest more in the American economy and put more Americans back to work.

Public support for making U.S. business taxes more consistent with the rest of the world

77% All Support
79% Dem Support
79% Rep Support
73% Ind Support

Bring the Money Home

The 500 largest American companies hold over $2 trillion in assets overseas. Give companies the opportunity to bring these assets back home at a one-time lower tax rate, with the requirement that the returning funds be used to invest in the United States.

Public Support
76% All Support
75% Dem Support
82% Rep Support
70% Ind Support
Essential Tax Reform Principles

**IDEA 6**

**Simplicity**

Simplify the tax code so that tax returns for at least 90% of taxpayers are limited to two pages.

**Public Support**

- **75% All Support**
- **74% Dem Support**
- **78% Rep Support**
- **73% Ind Support**

**IDEA 7**

**Collect What is Owed**

Increase enforcement of current tax laws to close the $400 billion annual gap between legally owed taxes and taxes paid. Appoint a special inspector general — essentially a “collections czar” — who will report to Congress quarterly on the collection of all monies owed to the federal government with the goal of reducing errors in payments, fraud, and securing all lawful tax and other payments.

**Public support for closing the tax gap**

- **74% All Support**
- **75% Dem Support**
- **75% Rep Support**
- **71% Ind Support**

**IDEA 8**

**No Net Tax Increase on Lower and Middle Income Families**

However the code is reformed, the overall annual tax burden should not increase for lower or middle income families.

**Public Support**

- **84% All Support**
- **85% Dem Support**
- **85% Rep Support**
- **82% Ind Support**

**IDEA 9**

**Move Toward a Balanced Budget**

At minimum, tax reform should be revenue neutral and ideally it would help reduce the federal deficit over time.

**Public Support**

- **69% All Support**
- **67% Dem Support**
- **74% Rep Support**
- **67% Ind Support**
2 Education
Problem

K-12

The U.S. isn’t sufficiently preparing our students for the job opportunities of the present or the future.

Over the past 10 years, growth in STEM (Science, Technology, Engineering and Mathematics) jobs was three times as fast as growth in non-STEM jobs.

AND YET:

- Among OECD countries, U.S. high school students rank 27th in math and 20th in science.
- 4% of U.S. bachelor’s degrees were awarded in engineering, compared with 31% in China.
- Most K-12 students aren’t measuring up.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>Grade 4</th>
<th>Grade 8</th>
<th>Grade 12</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Civics</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>24%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economics</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>42%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geography</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mathematics</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>26%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reading</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>38%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Science</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. History</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Writing</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>27%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: nationsreportcard.gov
Universal Computer Science Education

Make computer science courses available to every middle and high school student by 2020. Many local efforts and partnerships are underway to make this possible. But to bring computer education to every school, these bottom-up efforts will need support from the federal government.

Public Support

- 86% All Support
- 88% Dem Support
- 87% Rep Support
- 82% Ind Support

Public Support

- 84% All Support
- 89% Dem Support
- 83% Rep Support
- 78% Ind Support

More Targeted Investment for Technical Education in K-12

Incorporate new courses such as computer programming, engineering and data analytics in K-12 curricula to better prepare graduates for the workforce. The federal government can help by providing additional funding for STEM teacher training programs and creating STEM Innovation Networks by awarding grants to school districts in partnership with colleges to transform STEM education in K-12 schools.

Public Support

- 84% All Support
- 89% Dem Support
- 83% Rep Support
- 78% Ind Support
Former U.S. Education Secretary Arne Duncan has remarked that “most schools have about as much Internet bandwidth as your house,” describing it as “educationally unsound and morally unacceptable.” Allocate more federal funding to ensure that all K-12 schools have the infrastructure to support 21st century learning (e.g. broadband access, technology-savvy teachers and adequate computers).

**IDEA 13**

**New Technical Training Partnerships**

Bring together businesses, high schools and community colleges to create 21st century programs of technical education and training that would allow students to earn both a high school and an associate’s degree in computer science and other STEM subjects. Establish federal guidelines for technical education in K-12, college and advanced degrees for career and technical education, with a special focus on skills and expertise required for jobs in STEM fields. Provide incentives such as federal grants and programs in coordination with state funding to ensure programs meeting these guidelines are accessible to students at various levels of education.

**Public Support**

- **77% All Support**
- **89% Dem Support**
- **66% Rep Support**
- **75% Ind Support**

- **83% All Support**
- **87% Dem Support**
- **83% Rep Support**
- **79% Ind Support**
Problem

College

A college education is often unaffordable, inaccessible or incomplete for many students.

Cost

80%
The percentage increase in the cost of college tuition in the last decade, a rate of growth that is twice as much as the cost of medical care and four times the cost of housing.

$1 Trillion
The amount of money in outstanding student loan debt in the U.S.

Completion

59%
The percentage of students who began seeking a bachelor’s degree at a 4-year institution in fall 2007 and completed that degree within six years.

7 in 10 college graduates have student loans, with an average debt of over $35,000.
Incentives for College Completion

Provide new incentives for higher education institutions to focus on college completion as well as admission. Require public institutions of higher learning, and especially community colleges, to provide comprehensive student support services, including program and course advising, mentoring, and remedial education assistance for degree or certificate programs. Provide federal assistance through a block grant program to waive tuition for students enrolled in community colleges in these programs.

Expand Access to Online College Courses

Use online courses to deliver a high-quality, fully accredited college education at far lower cost than traditional brick-and-mortar institutions. Promote degree and certificate program course standards for digital learning to increase accreditation and transferability of credits. Improve access and assistance to rural populations and disadvantaged groups.

Public Support

79% All Support
78% Dem Support
80% Rep Support
75% Ind Support
78% Ind Support
IDEA 16
Match Worker Skills With Employer Needs

Increase incentives for high school and college partnerships with industry in order to better match worker skills with employer needs.

Public Support
84% All Support
86% Dem Support
86% Rep Support
78% Ind Support

IDEA 17
New Avenues for Worker Accreditation

Create new types of accreditation beyond high school, college and graduate school degrees, to include accreditation (e.g. digital badges or micro-degrees) that recognizes the acquisition of specific skills such as computer coding or leadership training.

Public Support
76% All Support
85% Dem Support
69% Rep Support
72% Ind Support

IDEA 18
Make Higher Education Credits Transferable

Establish standardized measures for course requirements to make it easier for students to transfer course credits between different schools. The Department of Education, in consultation and cooperation with state education boards and accreditation boards, can create standards of instruction for specific courses whose course credits would be fully transferable among schools of higher education.

Public Support
83% All Support
86% Dem Support
79% Rep Support
82% Ind Support

IDEA 19
Limit Student Loan Payments

Limit student loan payments by tying a student’s payment responsibilities to their ability to pay based on their income. This could be achieved by creating a minimum payment for federally guaranteed student loans based upon the borrower’s income and offsetting the reduced payments by increasing the interest rate or minimum repayment amount for borrowers who subsequently have high income.

Public Support
71% All Support
82% Dem Support
82% Rep Support
72% Ind Support
3 Workforce Development
Problem

America needs more people in the workforce.

Despite recent declines in the unemployment rate, our labor force participation rate is still too low. A number of problems, including lack of effective worker training and expensive child care, are conspiring to prevent far too many Americans from getting in and staying in the workforce.
IDEA 20

Condition Public Assistance on Active Job Search

Receiving welfare or other forms of public assistance should be conditioned upon recipients actively looking for employment if they are able. Tightening welfare requirements could also help fix the "welfare cliff" that can discourage people from working or accepting better-paying jobs for fear of abruptly losing benefits.

Public Support

82% All Support

82% All Support

79% Dem Support

89% Rep Support

78% Ind Support

IDEA 21

Help the Chronically Unemployed

The longer someone is out of work, the harder it is to find a job. According to a Princeton study, only 11% of workers were able to find jobs after having been unemployed for 15 months or more. Require long-term unemployed persons to participate in a reemployment or vocational training program that provides the advising, skills and credentials necessary to become employed or reemployed.

Public Support

82% All Support

82% All Support

79% Dem Support

83% Dem Support

86% Rep Support

76% Ind Support

78% Ind Support
IDEA 22

Education and Job Training for Previously Incarcerated

According to a New York Times/CBS News/Kaiser Family Foundation poll, men with criminal records account for about 34% of all nonworking men ages 25 to 54. Provide education and job training to people who have served time in prison so that they can provide for themselves and contribute to society. This could be achieved by mandating that previously incarcerated individuals participate in the new reemployment program described in the previous idea.

IDEA 23

Make Child Care More Affordable

The U.S. Department of Health and Human Services says that in order for child care to be affordable, it should account for no more than 10% of a family’s budget. But in many states, costs are often 30% or more, which has the effect of keeping many women out of the workforce. Make child care more affordable for families by enhancing funding for early education programs such as Early Head Start and increasing funding to states to improve childcare quality.

Public Support

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Support</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All Support</td>
<td>79%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dem Support</td>
<td>89%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rep Support</td>
<td>71%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ind Support</td>
<td>76%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Entrepreneurship
Problem

America’s job creation engine is sputtering.

Startup companies are responsible for nearly all new net job growth in the United States. But for the first time in modern history, more startups are dying than being born.

Business Closings Hold Steady While Business Startups Decline

Business startups have been declining steadily in the U.S. over the past 30 years. But the startup rate crossed a critical threshold in 2008, when the birth rate of new businesses dropped below the death rate for the first time since these metrics were first recorded.

Source: U.S. Census Bureau Statistics compiled by Gallup

Portugal, Romania, Panama, New Zealand, Kazakhstan and Belarus

According to the World Bank, these are among the 48 countries around the world where it is easier to start a business than the United States.
IDEA 24

Spur Innovation by Opening up Government Data for Research

One of the most powerful drivers of technological innovation has been open source software, in which source code is made available to everyone to study, change and distribute however they please. Sharing more knowledge inevitably leads to more innovation. The federal government produces billions of dollars worth of data and basic research every year, much of which should be made available for private sector research and development purposes.

Public Support

67% All Support

74% Dem Support

67% Rep Support

60% Ind Support

IDEA 25

Regulatory Road Map for Entrepreneurs

Small businesses are hit particularly hard by regulatory compliance, with regulations costing them over $10,000 per employee; 36% higher than the cost to larger businesses. The federal government should develop a regulatory “road map” website that enables entrepreneurs to view all of the federal, state and local regulations that may affect their business.

Public Support

81% All Support

85% Dem Support

82% Rep Support

76% Ind Support

IDEA 26

Expand Entrepreneurship by Enhancing the Community Reinvestment Act

Minority-owned businesses have a tougher time getting access to credit and often pay higher interest rates. For example, loan denial rates for minority firms are about three times higher compared to those of non-minority-owned firms. Enhance the Community Reinvestment Act — which provides incentives for banks to meet the credit needs of people in low- and moderate-income neighborhoods — to allow more funding to be funneled to startup businesses.

Public Support

72% All Support

80% Dem Support

67% Rep Support

69% Ind Support
Jobs: Infrastructure

5

Infrastructure
Problem

America’s roads, bridges, public transportation systems and electric and broadband infrastructure are in increasingly poor condition.

D+

The report card grade given to U.S. infrastructure by the American Society for Civil Engineers.

$4.7 Trillion

The gap between the expected funding and necessary funding for U.S. infrastructure by 2040.

35th

The U.S. global ranking in Internet bandwidth.

Danger Ahead for Drivers

63,000

The number of bridges in need of “significant repairs.”

32% of America’s major roads are in poor or mediocre condition.

Source: American Society of Civil Engineers
IDEA 27

Streamline Infrastructure Approvals

On average, highway projects take eight years to get regulatory approval. To accelerate the construction of important infrastructure, the federal government should designate officials to streamline the regulatory process for infrastructure projects such as roads, bridges and highways.

Public Support

- 75% All Support
- 79% Dem Support
- 75% Rep Support
- 70% Ind Support

IDEA 28

Infrastructure Bank

Create a new institution (e.g., an infrastructure bank) that relies on public-private partnerships to design, build, finance, operate and maintain public infrastructure. The idea is to take a comparatively small amount of public funding and leverage it to attract significantly more private sector funding. The infrastructure bank would be federally funded and controlled and led by a bipartisan group of experts who would select locally proposed construction projects. The selection would be based on a broad range of criteria including necessity, costs and benefits and funding would be provided through loans and loan guarantees. The repayment of the loans would take place through the collection of taxes, tolls and other dedicated revenue streams and could be undertaken through an array of partners, public and private, for each eligible project.

Public Support

- 62% All Support
- 68% Dem Support
- 57% Rep Support
- 59% Ind Support

IDEA 29

Highway User Fee Divided by 3

The Federal Highway Trust Fund — which is funded by gas and diesel taxes and provides three fourths of all federal spending on highways and mass transit — has an annual funding deficit of $13 billion in 2016 and $20 billion by 2025. Increase the federal gas tax with all the revenues split in three equal proportions to: 1) reduce personal income taxes, 2) reduce the federal deficit and 3) provide additional funding for the Highway Trust Fund.
Regulatory
Most Americans agree we need common sense rules and regulations to protect citizens, preserve our environment and promote public safety. But the U.S. regulatory system is increasingly complex and incomprehensible and the costs are adding up.

"GENERATIONS OF LAWMAKERS AND REGULATORS HAVE WRITTEN SO MUCH LAW, IN SUCH DETAIL, THAT OFFICIALS ARE BARRED FROM ACTING SENSIBLY."

- Phil Howard, founder of the reform group Common Good

Total Pages, Code of Federal Regulations (1950-2014)

Regulatory Compliance Costs per Employee per Year for Manufacturers, 2012 (in 2014 Dollars)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Average of All Manufacturers</td>
<td>$19,564</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small Manufacturers (&lt;50 Employees)</td>
<td>$34,671</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium Manufacturers (50-99 Employees)</td>
<td>$18,243</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Large Manufacturers (100+ Employees)</td>
<td>$13,750</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: National Association of Manufacturers

Source: George Washington University Regulatory Studies Center
IDEA 30

New Regulation In, Old Regulation Out

Whenever departments and agencies propose new regulations, require them to propose eliminating an existing regulation with comparable cost impact.

Public Support

- 70% All Support
- 65% Dem Support
- 76% Rep Support
- 67% Ind Support

IDEA 31

Sunset All Regulations

To prevent the accumulation of regulations that are unnecessary, counterproductive or too costly, require all regulations to sunset after 15 years, unless Congress explicitly acts to keep a particular regulation on the books.

Public Support

- 68% All Support
- 66% Dem Support
- 74% Rep Support
- 64% Ind Support
IDEA 32

Require Judges to Act as Gatekeepers

In order to restore fairness and reliability to the American justice system, give judges more responsibility to dismiss unreasonable lawsuit claims.

Public Support

- 81% All Support
- 82% Dem Support
- 84% Rep Support
- 76% Ind Support

IDEA 33

Would States do it Better?

The next president should undertake a comprehensive review of all major federal programs to determine if any aspects of the programs would be more efficiently and effectively handled by state or local government.

Public Support

- 81% All Support
- 75% Dem Support
- 91% Rep Support
- 77% Ind Support

IDEA 34

Review Existing Regulations and Reduce Them by 25%

Set up a bipartisan commission to review existing federal regulations with the goal of reducing them by 25%. Deliver findings within the first year of the next president’s term.

Public Support

- 72% All Support
- 62% Dem Support
- 85% Rep Support
- 69% Ind Support
Immigration
Problem

America’s immigration system is broken...

11+ Million
Immigrants in the country illegally

40%
Of unauthorized immigrants currently living in the U.S. have overstayed their legally-issued visas

...But legal immigration is essential for the current and future prosperity of the United States.

Immigration is

Good For Job Creation

$4.6 trillion
Social Security’s trustees report that increased immigration could increase funding for Social Security by $4.6 trillion over the next 75 years as new and younger workers pay into the system.

2x
Immigrants are more than twice as likely to start a business than a non-immigrant

1 in 4
Proportion of all-tech startups that have an immigrant founder

4.7 Million
Number of people employed by immigrant-owned small businesses
Solve

America has always been a nation of immigrants. But in recent surveys, many Americans are questioning the benefits of immigration for the country. Against this backdrop, it is essential for the federal government to first build trust among the American people that our borders are secure. When people were asked in No Labels polling how they’d feel about certain immigration policies if “border enforcement were much stronger,” many were amenable to key aspects of comprehensive immigration reform that have been debated in Congress, including:

IDEA 35

Permanent Legal Status for Illegal Immigrants

Promote a path to earned legal status for illegal immigrants in the United States who meet strict conditions such as learning English, paying back taxes and passing rigorous background checks.

Public Support

- 73% All Support
- 79% Dem Support
- 67% Rep Support
- 76% Ind Support

IDEA 36

Mandatory Tracking of Expired Visas

Establish a mandatory tracking policy that uses technology to monitor expired visas.

Public Support

- 82% All Support
- 73% Dem Support
- 90% Rep Support
- 76% Ind Support
IDEA 37

Expand H1-B Visas for High-Skilled Workers

According to a recent Manpower survey, 32% of employers report that they are having difficulty filling job vacancies, particularly in STEM occupations. Expand the H1-B visa system for high-skilled foreign workers in the U.S. and allow these workers to move freely from one employer to the next.

Public Support

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>52% All Support</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

63% Dem Support

44% Rep Support

48% Ind Support

IDEA 38

Expand Guest Worker Visa Program

Many U.S. farms have been reporting labor shortages as the number of incoming immigrants decreases. For example, according to Pew Research, the number of Mexican immigrants coming to the U.S. has recently fallen below the number headed back to their own country. Expand seasonal or annual guest worker visa programs for lower-skilled foreign workers in sectors such as agriculture.

Public Support

| 63% All Support |

75% Dem Support

54% Rep Support

50% Ind Support
GOAL TWO

Secure Social Security and Medicare for the next 75 years
Social Security and Medicare are true lifelines for tens of millions of Americans across the country.

But these lifelines are fraying.

Social Security and Medicare are not sustainable on their current trajectories due to the retirement of the enormous Baby Boom generation, falling birth rates and rising health care spending.

There are no easy answers to this challenge.

We must, on the one hand, provide the benefits that our seniors have earned and depend on for a growing share of their medical and living expenses. On the other hand, there is no realistic way to reduce America’s debt and balance our budget without reforming the way we currently fund and provide benefits through Medicare and Social Security.

But securing Social Security and Medicare is not impossible. There are a number of relatively modest and gradual changes to how benefits are paid and how these programs are funded that can keep Social Security and Medicare secure for another 75 years.

One way or another, Washington needs to find a solution for the sake of this generation and the next.

Policy Principles

No Labels’ Social Security and Medicare policies spring from the assumption that:

- Promises have been made to millions of people in or near retirement. Those promises must be kept
- The basic structure of the current programs should stay the same including their revenue base
- Alternatives to the current programs should be offered as options, not mandates
- Reforms should not increase the burdens or diminish the benefits of lower- and middle-income beneficiaries
- Reforms should focus revenue and benefit changes on upper income workers
Problem

Social Security and Medicare are in trouble.

There are too few workers available to support too many people who are living longer and drawing more benefits.

Social Security

16.5
Number of workers for every Social Security recipient in 1950.

2.1
Number of workers for every Social Security recipient by 2035.

Medicare

Most Americans will receive far more in Medicare benefits than they pay in Medicare taxes. For example, an average two-earner couple retiring in 2020 will receive $499,000 in Medicare benefits while only paying $153,000 in Medicare taxes.

The Upshot

The Medicare Hospital Insurance Trust Fund will be unable to pay full benefits beginning in 2030. The Social Security Trust Fund will be unable to pay full benefits beginning in 2033.
Problem

Social Security and Medicare must be secured.

Social Security and Medicare Cover a lot of People

55 Million
Americans receive health care coverage under Medicare.

65 Million
Americans receive Social Security benefits.

Social Security and Medicare are Cornerstones of Elderly Economic Security

Medicare covers about half of all health care spending for its beneficiaries.

Social Security benefits represent about 39% of the income of the elderly.
Increase Revenues Coming into Social Security

1. Raise the annual limit on earnings subject to the payroll tax from $118,500 to $240,000. At this level, the payroll tax would once again cover 90% of the wage base, which was the amount subjected to the payroll tax under the Social Security reform signed by President Reagan in 1983.

2. Increase the payroll tax rate, with employers and employees each paying an additional 1% of salaries.

3. Slow the growth of future benefits for the top 20% of beneficiaries.

4. Reform the Social Security disability system by tightening eligibility requirements and reducing fraud. A recent study by the Social Security Administration revealed that disability program beneficiaries were overpaid by $17 billion over the last 10 years.
More Funding for Chronic Disease Treatment

The most common chronic diseases (Alzheimer's, heart disease, etc.) have been estimated to cost the economy more than $1 trillion annually—with the possibility of reaching $6 trillion by the middle of the century. Unfortunately, chronic disease research is “severely underfunded,” according to a recent study of National Institutes of Health data published in the American Journal of Preventive Medicine. Increase the portion of the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) research budget focused on chronic disease conditions to a level similar to that of life science companies (16% on average).

Allow Medicare to Negotiate with Drug Companies

Prescription drug costs under Medicare Part D are not subject to price negotiations as under Medicaid and the Department of Veteran’s Affairs. As a consequence, drug costs are often 20 to 30% higher under Medicare. Congress should pass a law allowing Medicare to negotiate the price it pays for medicines (i.e. competitive bidding). According to the advocacy group Public Citizen, the government could save $16 billion per year if Medicare Part D drug prices were negotiated.

Public Support

- 82% All Support
- 86% Dem Support
- 83% Rep Support
- 75% Ind Support
IDEA 42

Pay for Quality, Not Quantity of Service

There are various existing pilot programs for establishing Accountable Care Organization and bundled payments for Medicare and Medicaid in which providers are paid for the quality instead of the quantity of care. Preliminary research from HHS indicates these programs generated approximately $300 per participating beneficiary per year while still maintaining quality care. Another Medicare pilot program — called Independence at Home — offered incentives for providers to visit elderly patients at home and saved more than $3,000 per beneficiary. Although these programs are in their initial stages, they can serve as models for widespread expansion in the years ahead.

IDEA 43

Allow Nurse Practitioners to do More

According to the Association of American Medical Colleges (AAMC), there will be a shortage of 45,000 primary care doctors in the United States by 2020, which could seriously threaten patients’ access to medical care. To both increase access to medical care and reduce costs, the federal government should use payment incentives or suggest best practices to accelerate the trend of nurse practitioners and other mid-level healthcare workers providing a wider scope of medical services, such as writing prescriptions.

IDEA 44

More Training for Mid-level Health Pros

Increase funding for scholarships, loans and other education and training incentives for mid-level and other health-care workers, such as nurse practitioners, diagnostic technicians and occupational and physical therapists.

IDEA 45

More Telemedicine

The Health Resources and Services Administration reports that nearly 20% of Americans live in areas with an insufficient number of primary care doctors. The problem is particularly acute for patients in rural areas. One way to fix this problem is to expand the usage of telemedicine, where doctors can diagnose and treat patients remotely using web videos and other telecommunications technology. This can be achieved by creating national standards for service, data transmission and payment of telemedicine services and expanding coverage and payment by Medicare and private payers for telemedicine services.
Reduce Defensive Medicine

According to Gallup, one in four health care dollars can be attributed to the cost of defensive medicine — which refers to the practice of a doctor ordering unnecessary tests or treatment as protection from future legal action. Institute reforms to reduce defensive medicine, with policy options including placing caps on non-economic and punitive damages; establishing risk-sharing between parties responsible for injury in place of the normal practice of joint and several liability; and imposing limits on contingency fees charged by lawyers.

Allow Health Insurance Purchases Across State Lines*

*Poll results from February 2014 Rasmussen Reports survey

Currently, consumers can buy policies only from insurers licensed by the states where they live and state insurance markets are sometimes dominated by only one or two insurers. Allow citizens to buy health insurance plans across state lines. By increasing customer choice, this step could increase competition and decrease insurance and overall health care costs.
GOAL THREE

Balance the Federal Budget by 2030
If the money we spend as a nation consistently outpaces the money we bring in, the burden of our increasing debt — including the interest we pay on it — will crush us.

Unfortunately, that’s where we’re headed. America’s public debt is big and projected to get much bigger for a number of reasons, including an aging, longer-living population, rising long-term health care costs and a weak recovery from the Great Recession.

America’s public debt-to-GDP ratio is around 74%. That’s higher than at any time in U.S. history, except for a short period after World War II, and more than double what it was in 2007.

The budget trajectory we’re on is unsustainable and we ignore this warning at our peril. That’s why America’s leaders need to commit to balancing the federal budget by 2030.

Policy Principles

No Labels’ budget policies spring from the assumption that reforms should be:

**Socially Equitable**
Preserving a secure social safety net for those who are truly in need

**Credible**
Providing a path to fiscal sustainability that is based on realistic assumptions and transparent projections

**Reasonable**
No Labels’ budget goal does not mean that the government’s revenues and expenses must be in complete balance every year. Instead, the government should work to reduce the burden that federal debt imposes on our economy to a stated level and ensure that it will no longer be rising by 2030

**Comprehensive**
Including both spending reductions and additional revenues and no exemption of “sacred cows” (e.g. mandatory spending programs and tax expenditures)

**Pro-Growth**
Encouraging economic growth in order to increase job creation and reduce the debt burden
Problem

Where We Are

$18 Trillion  SIZE OF U.S. NATIONAL DEBT

- Public Debt $13 Trillion
- Intragovernmental Debt $5 Trillion

Intragovernmental debt is debt the U.S. Treasury owes to other federal agencies. Most funds are owed to retiree programs such as Social Security and federal and military pensions.

$3.7 Trillion  AMOUNT THE U.S. GOVERNMENT SPENT IN 2015

Most of the Annual Budget Goes Toward Defense, Social Security and Major Health Programs

- 24% Social Security
- 22% Medicare, Medicaid, CHIP
- 19% Defense and International Security Assistance
- 12% Safety Net Programs
- 6% Debt Interest

Remaining program areas: Benefits for federal retirees and veterans, transportation infrastructure, science and medical research, education, non-security international assistance, all other

Source: Office of Management and Budget data compiled by Center on Budget and Policy Priorities
Problem
Where We Are Headed

Federal Debt Held By The Public Will Exceed 100% of GDP By 2039

PROJECTED BY THE CONGRESSIONAL BUDGET OFFICE

Federal Debt Held by the Public

The amount the U.S. government will pay in interest annually by 2024

$827 Billion

Mandatory programs and interest costs will take over more of the federal budget, crowding out discretionary programs

A growing share of federal spending will go to support mandatory spending (Social Security, Medicare, etc.) and interest payments with less available for all other government spending on priorities such as education, health research, veterans' health care, homeland security, defense and the environment.

% OF FEDERAL SPENDING

Source: Office of Management and Budget Data compiled by Peter G. Peterson Foundation

Note: Projections are from CBO's extended baseline scenario. Numbers may not sum to totals due to rounding. Mandatory programs include Social Security, major federal health programs, other entitlement programs and offsetting receipts.
**Fiscal Responsibility Act**

Prohibit Congress from passing budgets that would increase the national debt as a share of the overall economy, except in cases of war, disaster or recession.

**Public Support**

- 80% All Support
- 76% Dem Support
- 87% Rep Support
- 70% Ind Support

**Two-Year Budgets**

The current budget and spending process in Congress is completely broken, as budget and spending bill deadlines are routinely missed. Implement a biennial budget process, allowing Congress to spend one year on appropriations and freeing up additional time for oversight activities.

**Public Support**

- 56% All Support
- 61% Dem Support
- 53% Rep Support
- 52% Ind Support
The federal government has a problem not only with how much money it spends but how the money is spent. Unlike most businesses and many state governments, the federal government essentially treats all spending the same, despite the fact that some kinds of spending (e.g., infrastructure) deliver significant economic return and should therefore be accounted for differently. The federal government budget should be separated into two parts: a capital budget for long-term investments such as research and infrastructure and an operating budget for annual expenses.

According to the Office of Management and Budget, the federal government owns 1.2 million buildings, structures, and land parcels including 14,000 buildings and structures currently designated as excess and 55,000 identified as under- and not-utilized. Eliminate regulations that limit the sale of valuable but underused federal government assets and buildings, which could create new renovation and construction jobs.
GOAL FOUR

Make America Energy Secure by 2024
For decades, America’s political leaders have stressed the need for our country to achieve energy independence. But in a global economy, the U.S. can’t expect to completely insulate itself from energy markets.

What we can do is focus on the priority that really matters, which is energy security.

No Labels defines energy security as freedom from harm to our economy or national security from the energy decisions of other countries or acts of nature. Our energy security agenda is focused on three key priorities:

- Decreasing the Share of Oil in Transportation
- Developing a More Sustainable, Less Polluting Energy Mix
- Creating a Smarter, More Secure Electric Grid

Policy Principles

No Labels' energy policies spring from the assumption that reforms should:

- Increase all forms of domestic energy, while implementing high safety standards for all production and transportation operations
- To decrease pollution and mitigate the effects of climate change, transition over time to a more sustainable, less polluting energy mix
- Decrease reliance on oil in transportation, as American dependence on the worldwide oil market is a significant energy, economic and national security vulnerability
- Build a smarter, more secure electric grid
- Incentivize more energy efficiency and conservation
- Have the private sector do most of the investing in new energy sources and technologies. Government should focus on funding basic research and creating incentives and broad standards to help America enhance its energy efficiency, sustainability and security
Problem

The U.S. is too dependent on foreign oil.

Since the 1970s OPEC embargo, American leaders have understood that the U.S. is far too vulnerable to supply disruptions and price spikes in international oil markets. But there has been a lot more talk than action. We need U.S. leaders to commit to reducing American reliance on oil, particularly in our transportation sector. Two key factoids tell the story.

Most of the world’s oil is controlled by state-owned oil companies in countries that are often hostile to U.S. interests.

Oil provides 92% of the energy use for our transportation sector.

Largest Oil and Gas Companies, by World-wide Oil Reserves

- Saudi Arabian Oil Co.: 260 billion barrels
- Petróleos de Venezuela: 211 billion barrels
- ExxonMobil: 12.8 billion barrels
- National Iranian Oil Co.: 154 billion barrels
- BP: 9.8 billion barrels

Source: U.S. Energy Information Administration and Hydrocarbons-technology.com
Use Government Purchasing to Transition to Cleaner Fuels

Government agencies that are large buyers of vehicles — such as the Department of Defense and the U.S. Postal Service — should prioritize the purchase of vehicles that run on natural gas or electricity.

Public Support

- 73% All Support
- 87% Dem Support
- 64% Rep Support
- 75% Ind Support

Raise Fuel Efficiency Standards

Although current U.S. Corporate Average Fuel Economy (CAFE) standards call for vehicles to get an average of 54.5 miles per gallon by the year 2025, our target still lags behind targets set by China, Japan and the European Union. The U.S. should continue to raise fuel standards for cars and trucks in order to diminish U.S. dependence on foreign oil.

Public Support

- 65% All Support
- 78% Dem Support
- 55% Rep Support
- 61% Ind Support

User Fee Divided by 3

Increase the federal gas tax with all the revenues split in three equal proportions to: 1) reduce personal income taxes, 2) reduce the federal deficit and 3) provide additional funding for the Highway Trust Fund. This idea is included in the infrastructure section, but an increase in the gas tax would also enhance energy security by increasing the cost of oil-based fuels, which would accelerate the transition to other transportation fuels.

Public Support

- 63% All Support
- 73% Dem Support
- 56% Rep Support
- 59% Ind Support
Problem

America’s fleet of nuclear, coal and natural gas power plants are aging rapidly.

To meet U.S. power needs and preserve our environment, the U.S. must replace thousands of power plants that must be retired in the coming decades, with new generating capacity that relies on cleaner energy sources.

7,304
Number of operational power plants in the U.S.

Coal is on the Decline
232 of America’s 523 coal plants have been retired due to cost pressures and environmental concerns

Average Age of Power Generating Plants by Source

Most power plants must be retired after 40–50 years of use

- **Coal**: 37 years old
- **Nuclear Units**: 32 years old
- **Natural Gas Steam Turbine**: 45 years old
Solve

Rapidly Aging Power Plants

IDEA 57

Use Government Purchasing to Encourage Efficiency

Efficiency is one of the most cost-effective ways to reduce energy use and decrease the need for new power generation capacity. Use the government’s purchasing power — which can shape entire markets — to promote energy efficiency while protecting the environment. This could be achieved by extending the current 2020 Strategic Sustainability Performance Plans that each federal department and most agencies follow annually, through 2030 with revised greenhouse gas emissions and energy consumption goals.

IDEA 58

Facilitate Transition to More Sustainable, Less Polluting Power

Change incentives in the energy marketplace to encourage utilities to invest in more sustainable power generation such as nuclear, natural gas, renewables and efficiency. This could include federal incentives to encourage capacity markets instead of competitive markets, which create better price signals for investments in cleaner fuels.

Public Support

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Percentage</th>
<th>Support</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>75%</td>
<td>All Support</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>86%</td>
<td>Dem Support</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64%</td>
<td>Rep Support</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74%</td>
<td>Ind Support</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Problem

Much of America’s electrical grid infrastructure is antiquated, aging and increasingly vulnerable to cyberattack.

“FOR THOSE WHO WOULD SEEK TO DO OUR NATION SIGNIFICANT PHYSICAL, ECONOMIC AND PSYCHOLOGICAL HARM, THE ELECTRICAL GRID IS AN OBVIOUS TARGET.”

- Center for the Study of the Presidency and Congress

$243 Billion

According to insurer Lloyd’s of London, this would be the total economic cost of a severe, yet plausible, cyber attack against the power grid in the Northeast United States.
Create an Energy and Environmental Security Trust Fund

Use royalties from oil and gas drilling on public lands to fund an Energy and Environmental Security Trust fund that invests in basic research to improve energy security (e.g. new nuclear reactor designs, power storage, advanced electrical grid technology, etc.). Royalties to the federal government from oil and gas leases on federal lands will total approximately $115 billion over the 2016-2025 budget window and some or all of these funds could be placed in the Trust Fund.

Grid Modernization Initiative

In order to protect the U.S. electric grid from cyber attack and ensure a smart electric grid is deployed across the U.S., develop robust requirements and incentives to enable utilities to meet stringent standards in cybersecurity and digital technologies. The Department of Energy (DOE) should begin a Grid Modernization Initiative that would create new tools, technologies and standards for system control and power flow; grid security and resilience efforts; and risk management processes. These initiatives would be implemented with state agency assistance and DOE would provide financial assistance to states to integrate grid security solutions.

Public Support

83% All Support
77% Dem Support
70% Rep Support
66% Ind Support

83% All Support
86% Rep Support
79% Ind Support
Make Government Work

A Vision For More Efficient, Effective and Responsive Government From No Labels And The Partnership for Public Service
The American public expects much from our federal government and its workforce: the civil servants on the front lines caring for veterans, finding cures for diseases, providing building blocks for economic growth and preparing for the unthinkable — a terrorist attack, a pandemic or a financial crisis.

Unfortunately, the American people no longer trust government to deliver the performance they expect and deserve. A recent Pew Research Center poll found only 20% of Americans describe government programs as being well run, and just 19% trust government “always or most of the time,” a rating lower than just after the 1974 Watergate scandal.

It’s hard to imagine reaching any of No Labels’ four National Strategic Agenda goals unless this troubling trend turns around. After all, a policy can only be as effective as the government that is implementing it.

The government must perform better and the public must once again trust that it can address our nation’s most pressing challenges.

This requires skilled employees serving under exceptional leaders in a system suited for the 21st century. It requires agencies to do a better job serving citizens, businesses and state and local governments. And it requires a more effective presidential transition process that enables the new president to hit the ground running upon entering office.

In short, government needs a comprehensive overhaul to keep pace with a changing world and the needs of the citizens. The following recommendations, prepared for No Labels by the Partnership for Public Service, suggest a plausible path forward.

Policy Principles

No Labels and the Partnership for Public Service’s recommendations on government effectiveness spring from the assumption that:

- It is not about whether government is too big or too small, it is about whether or not it is effective
- All good organizations start with good people, and government is no exception. Our nation is fortunate to count some of the brightest, most dedicated professionals among its ranks, but they are impeded by outdated systems
- Our focus is on the executive branch because that is where national policy gets implemented
- When we refer to government management, we are talking about implementing policy and delivering results for the American people
- While there are many areas in need of reform, we have prioritized three: reforming how government hires and manages its people, delivering better customer service and improving presidential transitions.
Problem

Hiring and Management

Designed decades ago, the federal personnel system governing more than two million federal civilian employees is a relic of a bygone era, reflecting the needs and characteristics of the last century’s workforce. While the world has changed dramatically, the civil service system has remained stuck in the past, serving as an obstacle rather than an aid in attracting, hiring, retaining and developing skilled employees.

It’s time for Congress to pass legislation that directly addresses the most severe problems in the government personnel system.

Disconnected
Federal pay is disconnected from the broader job market, failing to distinguish between the different skills and demand for occupations.

Takes Too Long
On average, it takes at least three times longer for federal agencies to hire employees than it does in the private sector.

Little Accountability
In a 2015 federal employee survey, only 28% of the respondents agreed with the statement, “in my work unit, steps are taken to deal with a poor performer who cannot or will not improve,” and only 21% believe pay raises depend on how well employees perform in their jobs.

Aging Workforce
Only 6% of the federal workforce is made up of people younger than 24 compared to 23% of the total U.S. workforce.
Solve
Hiring and Management

The American public is broadly supportive of efforts to bring more accountability and flexibility to the way the government hires and manages its people.

More Flexibility in Federal Human Resources

Provide greater flexibility for the federal government to identify, hire and promote highly qualified employees, and to hold poor performers accountable.

Public Support
- 82% All Support
- 81% Dem Support
- 87% Rep Support
- 78% Ind Support

Market-Based Pay for Federal Workers

Establish a system that sets pay for federal workers at levels roughly comparable to that of major private sector employers.

Public Support
- 75% All Support
- 80% Dem Support
- 71% Rep Support
- 72% Ind Support
These attitudinal findings helped inform No Labels and the Partnership for Public Service’s federal workforce reform recommendations.

AN INTEGRATED PERSONNEL SYSTEM
The federal civil service has a patchwork of different personnel systems, with some agencies exempted from all or part of the civil service rules and other agencies still bound by the outdated requirements. Build a civil service system that is far more unified and operates as an integrated enterprise, one that has a common set of rules and levels the playing field in the competition for talent.

MARKET BASED PAY
The federal workforce struggles under a pay and job classification system that is neither market nor performance sensitive. Adopt a market-sensitive compensation system that sets pay based on occupation and by geographic area for white-collar professional and administrative positions. The system should establish pay levels roughly comparable to that of major private sector employers for similar jobs.

LESS PROCESS, BETTER PEOPLE
Over the years, various laws and process requirements have complicated the federal hiring process. Give agencies greater flexibility in hiring without compromising such core principles as veterans’ preference, merit-based selection, diversity and equal opportunity, while employing new assessment tools capable of identifying the best qualified from among large numbers of candidates.

MORE ACCOUNTABILITY
There is an absence of clarity and consequence regarding individual and organizational performance. Improve the performance management system, ensuring that supervisors and managers have the skills necessary for it to work and making it consequential by awarding pay raises only to those employees and managers who perform above expectations.

REVAMP THE SENIOR EXECUTIVE SERVICE
Federal career leaders need to be strategic thinkers, problem solvers and top-flight managers, but insufficient attention is paid to identifying, developing, recruiting and selecting talent for the Senior Executive Service, the government’s career leadership corps. Revamp the system used to attract, hire, develop and compensate senior career leaders. In addition, efforts should be made to build on an Obama Administration pilot initiative to encourage senior executives to rotate assignments by periodically moving within and across agencies to gain broader experience and perspective.
Problem

Customer Service

Providing excellent services to citizens, businesses and other organizations is integral to the missions of most federal agencies. The federal government has pockets of excellence where customers are well-served, but overall falls short of what citizens expect and deserve.

The federal government ranks last behind nine major industries measured by the American Customer Satisfaction Index.

The fallout from poor service has many ramifications, from veterans with serious health problems waiting months for care due to flawed scheduling; students losing out on college aid because the application process is too complex; or businesses unable to get a loan guarantee or meet regulatory requirements because of unclear, slow or low quality assistance from an agency. The failure of federal agencies to meet high customer service standards diminishes trust in government, results in lower levels of compliance and makes it harder to meet mission goals.

It’s time for Congress to amend current laws to better enable agencies to measure and improve their interactions with the public.
Better Information Sharing, Better Service

To improve service to the public, federal agencies should collect, use and share customer service information to streamline services and improve interactions with the public.

Public Support

- **63% All Support**
- **70% Dem Support**
- **60% Rep Support**
- **57% Ind Support**

Here are a few ways to enhance data collection and information among federal agencies:

**REVISE PAPERWORK REDUCTION ACT**
Congress should remove unnecessary obstacles that impede federal agencies from collecting customer experience data regarding the delivery of federal services, clarifying that the law does not apply to voluntary customer feedback to federal agencies.

**AMEND THE PRIVACY ACT OF 1974**
Congress should give agencies more flexibility to securely share customer information to help them to streamline services, especially when customers have explicitly authorized agencies to do so.

**STANDARDIZE CUSTOMER DATA COLLECTION**
Congress should require agencies to collect comparable customer service data on the most important services and transactions to compare customer satisfaction across government and target improvements where they are needed most. The data should be publicly available to ensure that agencies are held accountable for the quality of their services.
Problem

Presidential Transition

The peaceful transfer of power from one president to the next is a hallmark of American democracy. But behind the pomp and pageantry, the transition between administrations is often rushed and chaotic. A lack of thorough preparation could place the nation in jeopardy in the event of a major domestic or global crisis in the early days of an administration and seriously impede the implementation of its policy priorities.

While presidential candidates need to focus on campaigning, they must simultaneously lay the groundwork for a well-organized transition, have a framework in place before the summer of 2016 and be prepared to hit the ground running from the very first day in office.

In March 2016, Congress passed a law to ensure greater cooperation between the outgoing and incoming administrations. The legislation facilitates greater information-sharing between the White House, the candidates and the agencies, and gives federal career executives an important role in the transition process. Now it is incumbent upon leaders to make use of the law and ensure a seamless transition of power.

Too many political appointees
There are about 4,000 political appointments, including more than 1,000 who require Senate confirmation.

Lack of cooperation
There is often a lack of cooperation and coordination between the outgoing and incoming administrations.

Confirmation delays
The Senate takes too long to confirm appointees. During a president’s first year in office, less than 30% of the top political appointees historically have been filled by the August congressional recess.
Presidential Nominees Should Plan Transition Earlier

To ensure seamless handover of power when a new administration takes office, the presidential campaigns of both major parties should begin preparing a detailed transition plan to take charge of the government well before Election Day.

A Confirmation Slate That Can’t Wait

The next president and the Senate must work together to quickly fill the top government leadership positions to ensure steady management of federal agencies and to carry out the new administration’s policy priorities.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Public Support</th>
<th>82% All Support</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>78% All Support</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>87% Dem Support</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>83% Rep Support</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76% Ind Support</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Here are a few ways to improve and accelerate the presidential transition process.

**Early Transition Planning for Candidates**

The presidential candidates should name a transition chairman who can have an effective transition office up and running before the summer of 2016, and be ready to take advantage of a 2010 federal law that provides office space, computers and other assistance to the major party candidates immediately following the nominating conventions and a 2016 law that provides improved access to the work of federal agencies. The presidential transition teams should begin early to plan the new administration’s policy agenda, develop a management strategy for implementing the priorities, devise a plan to steer the work of the federal agencies and identify top-level appointees.

**Speed up the Senate Confirmation Process**

More than a year after the 2008 financial crisis, the Treasury Department still didn’t have an assistant secretary for financial markets. In the middle of fighting wars in Iraq and Afghanistan, there was no Secretary of the Army. And on 9/11, the Bush Administration still didn’t have a full national security team in place. These are the consequences of a broken presidential appointments process.

**Fewer Political Appointees**

Congress eliminated Senate confirmation for 163 politically appointed positions in 2012, but it did not go far enough. Congress should drastically cut the number of Senate confirmed positions that now number about 1,000, including management and non-policymaking jobs, to help reduce the confirmation backlog and allow the new president get his or her team in place more quickly. A number of the other 3,000 political appointments should be eliminated.
Make The Presidency Work!

Eight Ideas To Revitalize The Office Of The Presidency
Problem

American presidential candidates always make more promises than they can keep.

This frustrates voters, but it might delight America’s Founders. They designed a system of government where presidents can’t deliver on every promise because they have to share power with Congress and the Supreme Court.

But Americans still expect a lot from our president. “The Buck Stops Here” wasn’t just a sign on Harry Truman’s desk. The phrase distilled how Americans think about the office of the presidency. We expect our presidents to make tough decisions and to solve big problems.

Unfortunately, modern presidents increasingly don’t have the necessary tools to deliver what the American people demand. Almost 40 years after Congress began the post-Watergate roll-back of the “Imperial Presidency,” America’s chief executive now arguably faces too many impediments to enacting his or her agenda.

Some impediments are political — the rise of partisan polarization that makes it harder for a president to gain bipartisan support for legislation. Some of the impediments are institutional — obsolete rules and procedures that make it harder for presidents to act. And some are informal — White House norms and habits that diminish public trust.

It all adds up to an office of the presidency that has become too insular, too political and less effective.

In 2012, No Labels first released our Make the Presidency Work! action plan to address these problems. As America prepares to elect a new president in 2016, we are presenting here some of the plan’s key ideas to ensure our next president — whoever it is — has more effective governing tools.

To understand why the office of the presidency so badly needs reform, we need to keep two competing ideas in mind: that the president can be both very powerful and almost powerless at the same time.

The president is the chief executive of the biggest organization in the world, but often can’t hire the right people to help run it.

The president can work with Congress to launch a new government agency to meet the needs of the early 21st century, but can’t easily reform an old agency designed in the early 20th century.

The president can pass historic legislation, but those bills are increasingly stuffed with unwanted, irrelevant provisions. These are the types of problems that have bedeviled presidents of both parties for too long. Here are eight common sense ideas to solve them.
Partisanship and legislative shenanigans often allow a small number of congressional members to block consideration of bills. Twice a year, the president should be able to introduce legislation directly to Congress for a fast-track vote, which would allow the legislation to pass with a majority vote and without amendments. Congress has granted the president similar authority to negotiate trade deals in the past, and some states allow their governors to submit their budgets as fast-track bills.

To qualify for fast-track status, legislation would require 10 sponsors from each party in the House and five sponsors in each party in the Senate. Bipartisan presidential commissions would have similar fast-track authority for their final report if it is in legislative form.

Regular News Conferences for the President

President Franklin D. Roosevelt averaged nearly seven news conferences a month. But over the last two decades, presidents have averaged only about two a month. This isn’t good for our democracy. News conferences offer a rare opportunity for the media and the American people to break through the spin, speeches and press releases to force presidents to answer tough questions about pressing issues and to be accountable to the voters who put them in office.

Our solution is simple: presidential candidates should commit to holding at least one news conference per month. We want a regular news conference on the agenda — not just when it serves the president’s agenda. In addition, the president should participate in twice-a-year citizen news conferences, where citizens could ask questions via email, Twitter and other social media platforms.

2. Fast Track Legislative Authority for the President

Partisanship and legislative shenanigans often allow a small number of congressional members to block consideration of bills. Twice a year, the president should be able to introduce legislation directly to Congress for a fast-track vote, which would allow the legislation to pass with a majority vote and without amendments. Congress has granted the president similar authority to negotiate trade deals in the past, and some states allow their governors to submit their budgets as fast-track bills.

To qualify for fast-track status, legislation would require 10 sponsors from each party in the House and five sponsors in each party in the Senate. Bipartisan presidential commissions would have similar fast-track authority for their final report if it is in legislative form.
Make the Parties Pay for Presidential Fundraising

When presidents crisscross the country on fundraising trips, they typically net millions of dollars for their campaigns or those of other elected officials. But they cost the American taxpayer millions, too — to the tune of $180,000 for every hour Air Force One is in the air. Although Federal Election Commission rules require presidential campaigns to reimburse the cost of political travel, campaigns often game these rules by padding political trips with official business.

With presidential fundraising travel increasing exponentially in recent administrations, taxpayers are footing a bigger and bigger bill every year. We need a bright line between the president’s official and political roles. Any trip with any fundraising activity at all should be classified as political travel, and the necessary air travel, lodging and other trip expenses should be paid in full by the president’s party or campaign.

A Line Item Veto With a Twist

During debates on spending bills, senators and members of Congress often tack on provisions that have nothing to do with the substance of a bill. The president then has to choose, veto pen in hand, whether to throw the baby out with the bathwater or accept some really unappealing bathwater.

The result is lots of irrelevant provisions that hijack the legislative process, reduce the chance that important bills will pass, and often lock our government into unwanted and unnecessary spending.

Presidents should be given expedited rescission authority, which would give them similar power to the line-item veto authority that enables 44 state governors to remove provisions from spending legislation. A straight line-item veto — which would allow the president to eliminate specific spending provisions passed by Congress — is unconstitutional. But rescission — by which the president has to send each elimination request back to Congress for an expedited, up or down vote — is legal. Expanded presidential rescission authority already has broad bipartisan support in Congress from members who want more transparency and accountability in the legislative process.

Question Time for the President

The American public rarely gets to see our leaders truly debate the issues. Instead, we get politicians talking past one another with warring talking points.

America should take a cue from the British Parliament’s regular questioning of the prime minister to create question time for the president and Congress. These meetings occasionally get contentious, but at least they force leaders to actually debate one another and defend their ideas.

Here’s how it would work: on a rotating basis the House and Senate would issue monthly invitations to the president to appear in the respective chamber for questions and discussion. Each question period would last 90 minutes and would be televised. The majority and minority would alternate questions. The president could, at his or her discretion, bring one or more cabinet members to the question period and refer specific questions to them.
6. Expanded Presidential Power to Reorganize

Call it the great paradox of presidential power: in the nuclear age, the president can reorganize the planet with the push of a button, but he cannot reorganize his or her own cabinet. Every new president comes into office promising to streamline government. Most fail because eliminating or reorganizing government agencies involves turf battles with the congressional members and committees that fund them.

No wonder a 2012 review by the Government Accountability Office found 32 cases where different departments were essentially performing the same task, costing taxpayers billions of dollars.

It’s time to give presidents a chance to reorganize government by reviving the authority given to every president from Franklin Roosevelt to Ronald Reagan.

In the 1930s, Congress passed The Reorganization Act, which allowed presidents to consolidate departments while maintaining a measure of congressional oversight.

In 2012, No Labels co-chair and former Sen. Joe Lieberman co-sponsored a bill that would essentially revive the Reorganization Act by empowering presidents to reorganize — or even eliminate — redundant parts of the federal government, provided the president’s proposal improves efficiency and reduces costs. No Labels believes legislation modeled on this bill should be passed immediately.

7. Different Opinions, But the Same Facts

One of the chief obstacles to fixing America’s finances is that no one agrees what’s really on our balance sheet. When leaders in Washington debate our budget, they routinely use different baselines, projections and assumptions, which often conveniently support whatever policy they are pushing at the moment.

The American people deserve to know what’s really happening with our nation’s finances, and Congress should be able to work off the same set of numbers. That’s why every year, a nonpartisan leader, such as the Comptroller General, should deliver a televised fiscal update in-person to a joint session of Congress.

The president, vice president, all cabinet members, senators and members of Congress must attend this fiscal update session. They must take individual responsibility for the accuracy and completeness of the fiscal report by signing it, just as CEOs are required to affirm the accuracy of their company’s financial reporting.

8. Regular Meetings Between the President and Congressional Leadership

Leaders from opposing parties increasingly don’t like each other, don’t listen to each other and hardly know each other. It took nearly 20 months for President Obama and Senate Minority Leader Mitch McConnell to first meet one-on-one. And President Bush rarely met with Senate Majority Leader Harry Reid. Presidents regularly go months without speaking to congressional leaders of the opposing party, making delicate negotiations that require real trust and communication virtually impossible.

In the grand tradition of President Reagan and Democratic House Speaker Tip O’Neill — two political rivals who nonetheless understood there was a time for politics and a time for governing — presidential candidates should commit to meet with majority and minority party leaders in the House and Senate at least once a quarter.

President Reagan once commented that O’Neill “can really like you personally and be a friend while politically trying to beat your head in.” But Reagan and O’Neill were also willing to come together to pass historic tax reform and to keep Social Security solvent. That never would have happened if they weren’t initially willing to sit in the same room together. It’s time for our current leaders in Congress and the White House to do the same.
The National Strategic Agenda has always been based on a simple premise:

To solve a problem — any problem — you need to set goals, get people to buy into those goals and put a process or plan in place to achieve them.

This is how any well-run organization makes decisions.

This is how tough decisions should be made.

With the No Labels Policy Playbook for America’s Next President, No Labels has provided a realistic road map for our next president and Congress to begin making real progress against four goals that matter to the American people.

We don’t expect our next president and Congress to embrace every idea in this book. Ultimately, legislators must embrace the difficult give and take to find solutions to the many problems we identify.

But we do expect our leaders to stop acting as if there is nothing our parties can agree upon. If this book shows anything, it is that there are many credible ideas addressing voters’ most pressing concerns and appealing to a broad swath of Democrats, Republicans and independents.

The ideas in this book are designed to provide a starting point for debate. We aren’t naïve enough to think that just because a concept sounds good to policy experts or polls well with the public, that the toughest fights — about how much or who to tax or about the proper role of government in our lives — will suddenly be resolved. Even under the best of circumstances, America’s leaders will undoubtedly be battling over these issues for years to come.

But the time for doing nothing, for excuses, for constantly shifting blame to some other person or party, has long past. It is time for our next president and members of our next Congress to roll up their sleeves and get to work creating jobs, securing Social Security and Medicare, balancing our budget and making our nation energy secure.

It is time for America’s leaders to fix not fight.

Please visit www.nolabels.org to learn more about the No Labels Policy Playbook for America’s Next President and about No Labels efforts to usher in a new politics of solving in our government.